UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,751	06/11/2007	Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	769-400	3250
51468 DAY PITNEY	7590 10/14/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
	LINOIS TOOL WOR	KS INC.	GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS	
7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/593,751	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen F. Gerrity	3721		

	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wear AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	See Continuation Sheet.	,	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-11 and 14-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary to. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). □ 13. ☐ Other:	(F10/36/00) Fapel 110(8)		
	/Stephen F. Gerrity/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3721	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection made in the previous Office action.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

applicant's arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) made in the previous Office action are noted but deemed unpersuasive for the reasons already of record (see the "Response to Arguments" beginning at page 12).

The rejections which would be applied against the claims are as follows, and these rejections would need to be responded to and argued if the applicant decides to file a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief:

claims 1, 5-9, 14, 15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leighton (GB 2,349,603) in view of Buchman (US 6,588,176) for the reasons set forth beginning at page 4, paragraph 8;

claims 2, 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art for the reasons set forth beginning at page 9, paragraph 9; and

claims 10, 11, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art for the reasons set forth beginning at page 10, paragraph 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (571) 272-4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30-6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.